UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,342	04/11/2006	Terje H. Houen	1935-00233	7881
26753 7590 09/14/2010 ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			EXAMINER	
			CAMPOS, JR, JUAN J	
WIIL WAUNEE,	NEE, W1 33202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/595,342	HOUEN, TERJE H.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Juan J. Campos	3654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		NITHON OF THEFT (OR) BANG	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reput d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTILITY to the cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .  2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    Th  3)    Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>57-67</u> is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) <u>57-67</u> is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04/11/2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) □ Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (FTO-092)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3654

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 7, 2010 has been entered.

### Specification

- 2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required.
- 3. The specification as originally filed fails to provide support for a first plurality of cylindrical segments, an inner cylindrical center portion, a second plurality of cylindrical segments and an outer cylindrical center portion. The specification as originally filed only supports one plurality of cylindrical segments 30 (see pages 6-7 line 23 to line 3), not a first plurality of cylindrical segments, an inner cylindrical center portion, a second plurality of cylindrical segments and an outer cylindrical center portion.

Art Unit: 3654

## **Drawings**

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, <u>an inner cylindrical center portion and an outer cylindrical center portion (claim 57)</u> must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3654

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

**5.** The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- **6.** Claims 57-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 7. The specification, drawings and claims do not disclose <u>how</u> the cylindrical segments 30 can be connected with the inner notches 10 <u>and</u> outer notches 12 (with outer notches 12 having a greater radius, see page 6 lines 6-13).
- 8. From figure 4, the cylindrical segment 30 appears to have a curvature and hooks 34 which have the same curvature as the cylindrical segment. If the cylindrical segments 30 and hooks 34 have a curvature for the notches 10 of one diameter (for the inner cylindrical portion for example), these cylindrical segments 30 and hooks 34 would not be able to fit into the notches 12 (of the outer cylindrical portion and of different diameter) because the notches 12 would have a different curvature than the cylindrical segments and hook. This issue with the curvature of the cylindrical segments 30 and hooks 34 also occurs if the segments and hooks are to fit the curvature of notches 12, as these segments and hooks would not be able to fit into the notches 10, as notches 10 have a different curvature than curvature of notches 12.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,342

Art Unit: 3654

**9.** Further, specification, drawings and claims do not disclose <u>how</u> the cylindrical segments 30 (for the inner cylindrical center portion or the outer cylindrical center portion) can be connected with the dovetail connections 32 (as discussed in page 6 lines 23-25).

Page 5

- 10. Similar to the argument above, If the cylindrical segments 30 and dovetail connection 32 have a curvature of one diameter (for the inner cylindrical portion for example), the dovetail connections of a cylindrical segment would not be able to fit into the other dovetail connections of the other cylindrical segments when the one attempts to connect the cylindrical segments at another larger diameter (such as the diameter of notches 12) because the curvature of the larger diameter would be different.
- 11. This issue with the curvature of the cylindrical segments 30 and dovetail connections also occurs if the segments and hooks are to fit the curvature of notches 12 (of larger diameter), as the dovetail connections of a cylindrical segment would not be able to fit the other dovetail connections of the other cylindrical segments because the curvature would be different. This connection issue also occurs with screw-bolt-joint connections.
- 12. Claims 57-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,342

Art Unit: 3654

13. Regarding claims 57-67, From figure 4, the cylindrical segment 30 appears to have a curvature and hooks 34 which have the same curvature as the cylindrical segment. If the cylindrical segments 30 and hooks 34 have a curvature for the notches 10 of one diameter (for the inner cylindrical portion for example), these cylindrical segments 30 and hooks 34 would not be able to fit into the notches 12 (of the outer cylindrical portion and of different diameter) because the notches 12 would have a different curvature than the cylindrical segments and hook. This issue with the curvature of the cylindrical segments 30 and hooks 34 also occurs if the segments and hooks are to fit the curvature of notches 12, as these segments and hooks would not be able to fit into the notches 10, as notches 10 have a different curvature than curvature of notches 12.

Page 6

- **14.** Further, specification, drawings and claims do not disclose how the cylindrical segments 30 (for the inner cylindrical center portion or the outer cylindrical center portion) can be connected with the dovetail connections 32 (as discussed in page 6 lines 23-25.
- 15. Similar to the argument above, If the cylindrical segments 30 and dovetail connection 32 have a curvature of one diameter (for the inner cylindrical portion for example), the dovetail connections of a cylindrical segment would not be able to fit into the other dovetail connections of the other cylindrical segments when the one attempts to connect the cylindrical segments at another larger diameter (such as the diameter of notches 12) because the curvature of the larger diameter would be different.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,342

Art Unit: 3654

16. This issue with the curvature of the cylindrical segments 30 and dovetail connections also occurs if the segments and hooks are to fit the curvature of notches 12 (of larger diameter), as the dovetail connections of a cylindrical segment would not be able to fit the other dovetail connections of the other cylindrical segments because the curvature would be different. This connection issue also occurs with screw-bolt-joint connections.

Page 7

- 17. Thus, the examiner does not consider the claimed subject matter of connecting the cylindrical segments to the opposing end flanges to form an inner or outer cylindrical center portion, connecting the hooks of the inner or outer cylindrical portion to the opposing end flanges with fastening hooks, and connecting the cylindrical segments with dovetail connections or screw-bolt-joint connections to be properly described in the specification as filed. Further, the examiner considers the "an inner cylindrical center portion" and "an outer cylindrical center portion" as claimed in claim 57 to be new matter, since no mention of the matter was previously made.
- **18. Regarding claim 66**, these claims claim "each cylindrical segment in the first plurality has a substantially similar shape and substantially similar size". However, the specification as originally filed does not explicitly disclose the cylindrical segments of similar shape and/or size. Thus, the examiner does not consider this claimed subject matter to be properly described in the specification as filed.
- **19. Regarding claim 67,** these claims claim "each cylindrical segment in the second plurality has a substantially similar shape and substantially similar size". However, the specification as originally filed does not explicitly disclose the cylindrical segments of

Art Unit: 3654

similar shape and/or size. Thus, the examiner does not consider this claimed subject matter to be properly described in the specification as filed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **20.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. Claims 57, 59, and 60-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harvey (EPO Patent Application 0491400 A1) in view of Campbell (US Patent 3,940,085).
- 22. Regarding claim 57, Harvey discloses a collapsible metal reel comprising a pair of opposing end flanges (11, 12 and 51, see figures 1 and 5), each opposing end flange comprising a flange sector (51 for each of 11 and 12, see column 5 lines 1-6) and a flange segment (11 and 12) that is separably connected to the flange sector, and a first plurality of cylindrical segments (24 or 23), wherein the pair of opposing end flanges are separably connectable to the a first plurality of cylindrical segments (see figure 2) from the plurality that are separably connected together in series to form an inner cylindrical center portion (such as the portion made by 24, see figure 2). Harvey further discloses the flanges connectable to a second plurality of cylindrical segments (24 or 23) from the plurality that are separably connected together in series to form an outer cylindrical

center portion (such as the portion made by 23, see figure 2) having a larger diameter than the inner cylindrical center portion. Harvey does not explicitly disclose wherein the first plurality and second plurality of cylindrical segments are connected in series by screw-bolt-joints.

- 23. Campbell discloses a collapsible reel that teaches of cylindrical segments 12 connected together by a pair of bolts 32 and holes 34 (considered screw-bolt-joints, see figure 2). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art to modify the device of Harvey by providing screw-bolt-joints for the inner and outer cylindrical center portions of Harvey so that cylindrical segments are connected by screw-bolt-joints, to follow the teaching of Campbell of using screw-bolt-joints to connect cylindrical segments (or inner and/or outer cylindrical center portions) together, and to provide means of connecting the cylindrical center portions of Harvey directly to each other.
- **24. Regarding claim 59,** Harvey further shows wherein each flange sector 51 defines part of a central portion of a respective end flange that defines an aperture in the end flange (see aperture created between 11 and 51 in figure 5).
- 25. Regarding claim 60, Harvey further shows wherein each opposing end flange has an inner face that is capable of being configured to mate with and separably connect to an end of the inner cylindrical center portion and/or an end of the outer cylindrical center portion (see figures 1-2 and 5).
- **26.** Regarding claims 61 and 62, Harvey further shows wherein the inner face of each opposing end flange has a first plurality of notches (see holes 53 of 11, 12 and 51

Art Unit: 3654

closest to 16 or 47 in figures 1 and 4-5, column 3 lines 39-42, and column 5 lines 17-21) spaced apart along an inner pitch circle (see figures 1-2 and 4-5) about a central axis (considered the axis of 16 or 47) of the respective end flange and a second plurality of notches (see holes 53 of 11, 12 and 51 farthest from 16 or 47 in figures 1 and 4-5, column 3 lines 39-42, and column 5 lines 17-21) distributed along an outer pitch circle (see figures 1-2 and 4-5) about the central axis (considered the axis of 16 or 47) of the respective end flange, wherein the outer pitch circle has a radius greater than the inner pitch circle (see figures 1-2 and 4-5).

- 27. Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harvey (EPO Patent Application 0491400 A1) in view of Campbell (US Patent 3,940,085) as applied to claims 57 and 59-62 above, and further in view of Salloum (US Patent 5,004,179).
- 28. Regarding claim 58, the device of Harvey as modified by Campbell is discussed above. Neither Harvey or Campbell explicitly disclose wherein the flange sector and the flange segment are connected together by a splice connection. Salloum discloses a molded sectional reel that teaches of a flange sector (see uppermost 55 in figure 8) and flange segment (see lowermost 55 in figure 8) connected to together in a splice connection (56 and 57, see figures 8 and columns 3-4 line 62 to line 7) to connect parts of the flange together. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art to modify the device of Harvey as modified by providing a splice connection to the flange sector(s) and flange segment(s) of Harvey as

Art Unit: 3654

modified so that those parts of the flanges are connected together by a splice connection, to follow the teaching of Salloum of using a splice connect to connect parts of the flange together, and to provide quick means of connecting parts of the flange together.

- 29. Claims 63-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harvey (EPO Patent Application 0491400 A1) in view of Campbell (US Patent 3,940,085) as applied to claims 57 and 59-62 above, and further in view of Faulkner (US Patent 5,474,254).
- **30.** Regarding claim 63, the device of Harvey as modified by Campbell is discussed above. Neither Harvey or Campbell explicitly disclose wherein each end of the inner cylindrical center portion comprises fastening hooks configured to mate with notches in the inner pitch circle and wherein each end of the outer cylindrical center portion comprises fastening hooks configured to mate with notches in the outer pitch circle.
- 31. Faulkner discloses a spool and method of making same that teaches of a cylinder 20 (or cylindrical center portion) that uses fastening hooks 26 to connect the cylinder to notches 37 (see figure 1 and column 2 lines 13-34) of flanges 30 to secure the flanges to the cylinder. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art to modify the device of Harvey as modified by substituting hooks for the pins 55 of Harvey so that the inner and outer cylindrical center portions of Harvey are connected by fastening hooks, to follow the teaching of Faulkner

Art Unit: 3654

of using fastening hooks to secure the flanges to the cylinder (or hub), and to provide fastening means that prevent slippage of the center cylindrical portions from the flanges.

- 32. Regarding claim 64, Harvey further shows wherein both the flange sector and the flange segment are capable of being connectable to the inner cylindrical center portion when each end of the inner cylindrical center portion is connectable to the opposing end flanges and wherein both the flange sector and the flange segment are connectable to the outer cylindrical center portion when each end of the outer cylindrical center portion is connectable to the opposing end flanges (see figures 1-2 and 5).
- **33. Regarding claim 65**, Harvey further shows wherein the flange segment (11 and 12, also see figure 5) is capable of defining a rolling surface (see figure 5) and defines notches in the second plurality of notches (see holes 53 of 11 and 12 farthest from 16 or 47 in figures 1 and 4-5, column 3 lines 39-42, and column 5 lines 17-21).
- **34. Regarding claim 66,** Harvey further shows each cylinder segment in the first plurality of cylinder segments have a substantially similar shape and substantially similar size (see figure 2).
- **35. Regarding claim 67,** Harvey further shows each cylinder segment in the second plurality of cylinder segments have a substantially similar shape and substantially similar size (see figure 2).

# Response to Arguments

**36.** Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

**37.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juan J. Campos whose telephone number is (571) 270-5229. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm (Monday-Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael R. Mansen can be reached on (571)272-6608. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael R Mansen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3654

/JJC/